Standard #4 Measurement and Analysis of Student Learning and Performance

Use this table to supply data for Criterion 4.2. (Figure 4.2 in self-study)

Performance Indicator	Definition
1. Student Learning Results	A student learning outcome is one that measures a specific competency attainment. *Examples of a direct assessment (evidence) of student learning attainment that might be used include: capstone performance, third-party examination, faculty-designed examination, professional performance, licensure examination).* Add these to the description of the measurement instrument in column two: Direct - Assessing student performance by examining samples of student work Indirect - Assessing indicators other than student work such as getting feedback from the student or other persons who may provide relevant information. Formative – An assessment conducted during the student's education. Summative – An assessment conducted at the end of the student's education. Internal – An assessment instrument that was developed within the business unit. External – An assessment instrument that was developed outside the business unit. Comparative – Compare results between classes, between online and on ground classes, Between professors, between programs, between campuses, or compare to external results such as results from the U.S. Department of Education Research and Statistics, or results from a vendor providing comparable data.

		Analysis of Results			
Performance Measure	**What is your measurement instrument or process?**	**Current Results**	**Analysis of Results**	**Action Taken or Improvement made**	**Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends (3-5 data points preferred)**
Measurable goal	**Do not use grades.**	**What are your current results?**	**What did you learn from the results?**	**What did you improve or what is your next step?**	
What is your goal?	**(Indicate type of instrument) direct, formative, internal, comparative**				
70% of the students will obtain a result of 2 or more on a scale of 1-4 in finance ethics.	Direct, Formative, Internal	Goal was accomplished with a mean of 77.67% during the three periods.	There is a downward trend; therefore, there is a good opportunity for improving the learning outcomes.	To include cases, videos or movies to improve the relationship between agency theory with business ethics in students.